

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
06/20/2003	Gregory R. Ley	279.603US1	6442	
7590 08/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.		BOCKELMAN, MARK		
38		ART UNIT PAPER NU		
LIS, MN 55402		3766	TATERNOMBER	
	06/20/2003 7590 08/15/2006 IAN, LUNDBERG, WC	06/20/2003 Gregory R. Ley 7590 08/15/2006 IAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. 38	06/20/2003 Gregory R. Ley 279.603US1 7590 08/15/2006 EXAM IAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. BOCKELM. 38 ART UNIT LIS, MN 55402 ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/601,271	LEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark W. Bockelman	3766		

Bototo mo timig of arrithman arrive	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally rej		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	T TT	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		·	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		, io boion or anac.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Mad Bick	
	. · ·	MARK BOCKELMA * > 10 ca 11/32 FX (1,618)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed claim 1 incorporates different from original claims 6 and 7 and thus require new consideration. In addition, a new claim has been added with would require further consideration and search.